

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/605,090	Applicant(s) BEIERLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Bruce F. Bell	Art Unit 1746	

All Participants:

(1) Bruce F. Bell.

(2) Mr. Robert Beam.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claims 2 and 16

Claims discussed:

2 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed with Mr. Beam that the limitations in claims 2 and 16 did not further limit the scope of the invention since it did not appear that the removal of the fastener from the onifice does nor further limit the method of preventing corrosion.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)